

## REMARKS

This paper responds to the Office Action dated November 3, 2005.

**Claim rejections – Section 112.** The Examiner rejects all claims as indefinite.

As for Office Action Section 112 paragraphs 2 and 3 (page 2), all claims have been corrected, e.g. in the expressions "initialisation, decentralized, authorization etc." the letter "s" has been corrected to "z". Claim 29 (method) has been amended to define the invention more clearly.

As for Office Action Section 112 paragraph 4 (page 2), applicant offers the following comments regarding the term "authorization means". As shown in Fig. 4: the authorization means (AM) can consist of special authorization identification media (AM-IM) or of authorization data (AM-I). Here the authorization identification media (AM-IM) are used at a host computer (H) whereas the authorization data (AM-I) are used at a remote authorization read and write station (R-A-WR) for the authorization process.

As for Office Action Section 112 paragraph 5 (page 3), claim 55 (read and write station) is defined in that it contains initialization data which are sent over a network (as is defined in claim 29).

As for Office Action Section 112 paragraph 6 (page 3), claim 56 has been canceled.

As for Office Action Section 101 paragraph 8 (pages 3-4), claim 54 (mobile data carrier) is defined in that it contains initialization data which are sent over a network (as is defined in claim 29).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Carl Oppedahl  
PTO Reg. No. 32746